Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,507	BARDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) Jeffery Chapp, Atty.50,579.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 22 August 2006	Time: <u>12:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a			
Claims discussed: 1, 7 and 11			
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20060822

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained the distinction of the claims 7 and 11 over the prior art--specifically attributed to the claim limitation "said plurality of client computers receiving said master URL to browse to only when all of said data is loaded to said plurality of client computers". Examiner suggested incorporating this limitation into independent claim 1, thereby modifying the claim language of claim 1 to replace "completely distributed" with "loaded". Applicant agreed to and authorized the Examiner to amend the claim language accordingly.